Center for Management Science Research
ISSN: 3006-5291 3006-5283 Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

BORDER ECONOMIES, INFORMAL TRADE, AND GOVERNANCE GAPS: THE CASE OF BALOCHISTAN

Dr. Waseem Sadiq[*1], Shakir Ali[2], Aftab Aslam[3], Khalid Khan[4]

[*1]*Lectuer/ HoD Department of Management Sciences University of Balochistan Quetta sub-Campus Mastung*
[2]*Lecturer, Department of Economics University of Turbat*
[3]*Deputy Registrar, University of Makran Panjgur, Management Science Scholar (MS Business Administration)*
[4]*Registrar University of Loralai, Loralai*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17283258

Keywords
Informal economy, border trade, governance gaps, Balochistan, economic development

Article History
Received: 11 August 2025
Accepted: 21 September 2025
Published: 07 October 2025

Corresponding Author: *
Dr. Waseem Sadiq

Abstract
Border regions of Balochistan, particularly along Pakistan's frontiers with Iran and Afghanistan, have emerged as hubs of informal trade and parallel economies. Despite their centrality to local livelihoods, these economies remain largely undocumented, poorly regulated, and vulnerable to governance deficits. The problem lies in the absence of a formal regulatory framework, weak institutional oversight, and the state's limited capacity to integrate border economies into mainstream economic planning. As a result, informal trade networks often operate outside legal structures, fostering smuggling, tax evasion, and governance challenges while simultaneously sustaining communities dependent on cross-border commerce. The purpose of this study is to investigate the dynamics of Balochistan's border economies, identify the governance gaps that perpetuate informality, and assess their broader implications for economic development and state legitimacy. Using a qualitative methodology, the research will draw on interviews with local traders, community representatives, government officials, and security personnel, supplemented by secondary data from policy documents, academic literature, and trade reports. Preliminary findings suggest that informal trade is not merely a survival mechanism but also a socio-political reality deeply tied to regional underdevelopment, unemployment, and weak governce presence. Governance gaps—including corruption, fragmented authority, and lack of policy coherence—enable informal networks to thrive, creating both opportunities and risks for border communities. The study concludes that while informal border economies are crucial for sustaining local livelihoods, their unchecked growth undermines governance and formal economic structures. Integrating these economies through context-specific reforms, improved border management, and inclusive development policies can transform informal trade into a driver of stability, legitimacy, and economic growth in Balochistan.

INTRODUCTION

Pakistan is a vast country with Balochistan being the largest province in terms of landmass and geopolitically, geo-economically, it stands at a strategic place of convergence, being at cross-border frontiers between South Asia, Central Asia, and Middle East. The province is located to the north and northwest of Afghanistan, and to the west of Iran, and to the south of the Arabian Sea and has been a traditional cross-boundary point through which merchandise, people, and ideas flow across borders. This is a strategic point in the sense that not only does Balochistan form the heart of internal cohesion in Pakistan but also to its regional economic future, with projects like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) aiming to turn Gwadar into a regional trade centre (Small, 2015). However, Balochistan is a geostrategic region that has been the least developed in Pakistan with state penetration being minimal and weak governance. Here, cross-border trade, most of which is informal, is seen as a survival strategy to the local population and a threat to the fiscal and regulatory power of the state.

The economies of borders in Balochistan are seriously integrated in the socio-economic set ups of the people residing in the frontiers with Iran and Afghanistan. To a great majority of residents, cross-border trade is not just an economic activity but a lifestyle that allows them to guarantee food security, keep the household incomes and get a job source in areas where formal economic opportunities are minimal. As an example, smuggling of petroleum products into Iranian petroleum to other districts like Panjgur, Kech, and Gwadar offers cheaper fuel options, and the smuggling of livestock, food products, and consumer goods across Chaman and Taftan borders helps in sustaining the trade of both the traders and the ordinary consumers (Gazdar, 2007). Informal trade has taken the place of the failed state-led development projects in regions with failed state-led development projects in the creation of sustainable livelihoods, which form a critical component of the daily survival strategies. This has been the reason why, despite the popular labeling of border economies as illicit, they have the broad social acceptance of the local people (Meagher, 2010).

The border economies of Balochistan have a paradox, that is, they are two-pronged. On the one hand, they offer a vital safety net to marginalized groups, which protect them against unemployment, inflation, and the absence of the basic services. Conversely, they weaken the governance of states through promotion of smuggling networks, tax evasions, and development of parallel economic systems not under the state control. This two sidedness is indicative of a larger governance quandary in Balochistan where informal structures tend to work better than formal state entities. Informal trade routes are often favored by traders and local residents not due to criminal motives, but scramble because they perceive the governmental border procedures to be corrupt, bureaucratic, and exploitative (Ahmed & Baloch, 2017). This points to a structural issue informal economies do not flourish where there is no governance, but where there are gaps in governance.

The continuation of informal border economies also has a major implication on the national economy and security of Pakistan. Smuggling robs the state a lot of revenue, and uncontrolled cross-border migration may support trafficking, militancy, and instability to an already unstable region (Wirsing and Jasparro, 2007). All these lapses in governance contribute to the state-society gap in Balochistan, which creates a negative sense of neglect and exclusion, which has been historically a source of grievances and, in certain instances, insurgency. Meanwhile, the frequent crackdowns on smuggling do not solve the problem, as they are directed to the symptoms of the problem but not the structural roots of informality, including poverty, unemployment, and lack of investment in the community and border (Yusuf, 2019).

Although they are important, the issue of border economies in Balochistan has not been studied much in scholarly and policy literature. Most of the literature on Balochistan is devoted to security and insurgency (Akhtar, 2011; Siddique, 2012) or the fact that it is a resource-rich country, with references to natural gas and CPEC in particular. The literature review which particularly looks at informal trade and its governance implications is sparse and the ones that are found tend to look at the issue in a limited way as a law-and-order problem, which ignores the socio-economic aspect of informal trade. This has created a knowledge vacuity since policy makers have poor knowledge on balance as to how the informal economy maintains livelihoods and at the same time poses a challenge to governance. In addition, local differences between border districts are not given much attention. The fuel smuggling of Panjgur, say, is not comparable to the livestock trade of Chaman or consumer goods smuggling of Taftan. The policy reactions can turn out to be crude and inefficient by ignoring these differences.

This paper seeks to fill this gap by exploring informal border economy of Balochistan and exploring governance gaps that enable the existence of the former. It aims to learn how border trade networks collide with the local socio-economic realities, and what it entails in terms of its consequences on the legitimacy of states, fiscal stability, and regional development. The study of the views of the communities themselves in the borderlands is also a goal of this research since informal trade to them is not so much about legality but a question of survival. By so doing, the study is also added to more general discussions on informality in fragile states, in which economic activities beyond the formal institution are frequently highly interconnected with social networks and political economies (Mezzadra & Neilson, 2019).

The approach that will be used in the study is a qualitative one that will depend on semi-structured interviews with the local traders, community leaders, government leaders, and security actors in the selected border districts and will be supplemented by secondary sources such as policy reports, scholarly literature, and trade statistics. Such a strategy is needed to replicate the complexity of the informal economies that cannot only be comprehended based on quantitative data. Informality is not only a type of economics but also a social and even a political reality that defines the manner in which communities can negotiate their survival in the conditions of state negligence (Meagher, 2010).

The research is important in both the local and national levels. On the local level, it offers an insight into how communities in the borderland areas manage to adapt to structural marginalization by informal trade. At the national level, it illuminates the fiscal and governance factors of informality on the overall development agenda of Pakistan. On a policy level, it provides the suggestions to the incorporation of border economies into the official arrangements that are both specific to the situation and community-oriented, but not necessarily repressive. Other areas in the world, like the Iran-Turkey border or the Central Asian trade routes, could be valuable in imparting lessons to use by Balochistan.

Altogether, the research paper suggests that informal border economies in Balochistan are a challenge and an opportunity. On the one hand, they are eroding the legitimacy of the state and the rule, but on the other hand, they capture the adaptive role of the marginalized groups. With increased knowledge of such dynamics, policymakers will be able to develop interventions that will turn informal trade into a source of vulnerability, stability, legitimacy, and inclusive economic growth.

1. Problem Statement

Balochistan is situated at the oasis of the geographic location of Pakistan, Iran, and Afghanistan, and thus, a place of cross-border exchanges, most of which are informally conducted via informal trade connections. To the locals, such border economies are a life-or-death situation, as it avails them employment, food security and access to low-cost goods in an area where underdevelopment is a common phenomenon. The informality of trade however indicates vast gaps in governance such as inefficiency in regulatory action, corruption, fragmentation of power and failure on the part of the state to incorporate the borderland economies into formal systems. This is a paradox where informal trade supports livelihoods and yet at the same time contributes to the lack of fiscal stability, state legitimacy and national security, is under researched and under-developed in both policies. A lack of evidence-based analysis within a specific context of Balochistan about the border economies denies the policymakers the ability to develop inclusive and effective governance reforms. In the absence of addressing such gaps, informal economies tend to keep on creating economic vulnerabilities, gaps in governance, and socio-political alienation in Balochistan.

2. Objectives of the study

- To analyze the socio-economic drivers of informal border economies in Balochistan and their role in sustaining local livelihoods.
- To examine the governance gaps—such as weak regulation, corruption, and institutional fragmentation—that enable the persistence of informal trade in the province.
- To assess the broader implications of informal border economies for Pakistan's fiscal stability, state legitimacy, and regional development, and to propose context-specific policy recommendations.

3. Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

3.1. The meaning of Informal Economy and Border Trade.

The informal economy is generally taken to refer to economic activity that is not governed, taxed or safeguarded by the official institutions of the state (Chen, 2012). To informal trade, especially in border areas has become a reaction to the weakness of the states, the rates of poverty, and the use of the restrictive policy that affects the trade. In developing nations, in contrast to smuggling as a crime, a great deal of informal border trade is semi-legal, sealing the loopholes that formal markets do not fill, and supplying marginalized groups with necessary goods.

Border economies, particularly in such places as Balochistan, are a hybrid economy where both formal and informal transactions are present. Fuel, food products and consumer goods are often moved across the border using informal channels, which subsidize the livelihood of the people in regions where the formal economic opportunities are minimal (Meagher, 2013). Such duality tends to cause tension between the state institutions and the local communities with authorities perceiving such practices as illegal whereas locals view such practices as survival tactics.

Informal cross-border trade between Balochistan and Iran and Afghanistan have been so entrenched in the socio-economic life of the border communities. In the case of most households, it is the main source of revenue thus giving rise to a parallel economy that sustains and destabilizes the state legitimacy. The informal economy of Balochistan implies that to understand it, one must understand the duality of this phenomenon: on the one hand, it is the source of resilience in unstable environments; on the other hand, it has an impact on the fiscal and governmental capabilities of the state (Afridi & Abbas, 2021).

3.2.

3.3. Governance Theory and its Application to Border Economies.

The theory of governance creates a valuable perspective on the processes of the border economies. Governance transcends the state systems and extends to the extent of distribution of authority, accountability and making decisions among different actors, including those who are non-state networks (Rhodes, 1996). In weak states, governance is usually based on hybrid solutions in which the local power brokers, tribal leaders, and informal networks are used to replace or supplement roles of the state.

In Balochistan, lack of institutional buoyancy in the periphery due to poor state capacity and low institutional penetration in the periphery provides governance vacuums. Informal networks fill such vacuities and govern the cross-border trade with unwritten regulations, kinship relations and negotiated agreements. This type of governance might be inconsistent with formal state regulations but ensure local economic interactions to be structured in order and predictability (Lund, 2006).

Thus, when governance theory is applied to border economies, one can point out the necessity to examine the informal mechanisms involved in ensuring survival off the official state apparatus in marginalized areas. The strength of the informal economies in Balochistan highlights the way the government works in an actual sense and reveals that it is in informal negotiations between the state and the society where the actual governance takes place (Goodhand, 2005).

3.4. Informal Trade and State Capacity in Fragile Regions Literature Review.

The current literature indicates that informal trade is especially common in vulnerable and war-torn areas where the state is not very strong. The first distinction between formal and informal economies was made by Hart (1973), who demonstrated how informal networks could be useful in cases of economic instability. Informal trade is not only becoming more accepted in the modern argument as a survival mechanism but also as a mode of opposition to the state (Meagher, 2013).

The studies of fragile zones point to the paradoxical nature of informal trade in terms of both keeping the communities alive and weakening the state. As an illustration, Snyder (2006) explained how insurgencies can be funded using resource-based informal economies and undermine state capacity. Formal border economies In the scenario of Balochistan, informal border economies are typically associated with broader political grievances and tales of marginalization, in which state communities feel they are ripped off by the state but not given development.

But other researchers indicate the potential of informal economies to develop. Chen (2012) believes that informal trade can be utilized to enhance livelihoods and minimize poverty when incorporated into other policy frameworks. This implies that informal border trade in Balochistan cannot be only perceived as a security issue but as a basis of inclusive governance reforms.

3.5. Analytical Framework of the Study of Balochistan.

The analysis framework used in the current study incorporates the idea of informal economy and a governance theory in order to examine border trade in Balochistan. The informal economic theory can be used to understand why trade across the borders thrives in the presence of formal barriers, whereas the governance theory can shed some light on the issue of how authority and legitimacy can be exchanged between state institutions and local participants. The combination of these views makes it possible to make a subtle analysis of how informal trade supports communities and provokes the state authority.

The fundamental aspect of the framework is the understanding that informal economies in Balochistan are not such an isolated phenomenon but existing as part of a larger political, economic, and social framework. They echo past trends of marginalization, poor institutional presence, and the long-term relevance of tribal and kinship structures (Afridi & Abbas, 2021). That way the research takes relational approach that takes into consideration the interaction of the informal trade practices with the state policies, geopolitics of a region, and community resilience.

The analysis framework, integrating the theory of informal economies with the approach to governance, places the Balochistan border economies in the context of a lack of state capacity as well as an opportunity of inclusive reform. This two-sided approach is necessary to leave behind basic accounts of smuggling and illegality, and begin to acknowledge the complexity of informal economies in vulnerable borderlands.

4. Contextual Overview of Border Economies in Balochistan

4.1. Border Trade with Iran and Afghanistan Development.

Balochistan has rich history of border trade and this is due to the geographical location of the territory as a frontier province to both Iran and Afghanistan. Prior to the formation of modern nation states, the Baloch tribes had been involved in seasonal and transnational livestock, agricultural products, and handcraft trading across porous borders (Jalalzai, 2015). These were strengthened through colonial policies of British Raj, when trade routes via Makran and Chaman were considered as lifelines between South Asia and Persia and Central Asia (Scholz, 2009).

These historical networks continued to exist even after the formal state boundaries were established after the partition of the Indian subcontinent in 1947. The 900-kilometer Pakistan-Iran border and the 1200-kilometer Pakistan-Afghanistan border remained open to cross border movements, usually against the restrictions that the states imposed. Kinship connections across the boundaries of the states contributed to the fact that state governments could hardly impose strict custom regimes because the local groups considered trade as the natural continuation of their cultural and social activities (Ahmed, 2013).

The national politics of the region such as Soviet invasion into Afghanistan in 1979 followed by the instability further intensified the informal trade between Baluchistan and other countries. Smuggling of fuel, weapons, and consumer goods also increased in this time, and it was the basis of the established informal economy today. Informal border trade became organized over decades as a result of subsistence trade turning into a system of the political economy of the region of Balochistan (Khan, 2019).

4.2. Socio-Economic Reliance of Local Society on Informal Trade.

To the communities living in the borderlands in Balochistan, informal trade is not only an economic activity but a survival method to poverty and poor development by the state. Economic opportunities are not yet wide as a result of poor industrialization, ineffective infrastructure, and low investment by central government (Youseaf, 2020). Consequently, informal cross-border trade is a livelihood to thousands of households, which cannot sustain themselves using agriculture and formal jobs.

The communities living in the area have become quite dependent on small-scale exchanges of fuel, food products, and consumer goods. Whole villages in places like Panjgur, Chaman and Taftan, have depended on cross-border supply chains, including logistics and transport as well as retail distribution. The dependency has led to the development of localized economies that operate parallel to formal systems resulting in what scholars refer to as a dual economy (Afridi & Abbas, 2021).

The socio-economic reliance of informal trade supports the state abandonment as also perceived by locals. The communities tend to justify being involved in informal activities as a way of rewarding the exclusion in the national development agenda. This reliance only solidifies the informal economy but creates frustrations because state crackdowns are perceived as life-threatening instead of curbing lawlessness (Youseaf and Javaid, 2021).

4.3. Key Trading Commodities (Fuel, Food Items, Consumer Goods)

The smuggling of fuel in Iran to Balochistan is the main source of the informal economy of the province. As a result of the subsidized petroleum prices in Iran, cheap fuel crosses the porous border and is sold all over Pakistan, usually at the expense of the official energy sector (Ahmed, 2013). It is so widespread that the so-called diesel trade has thousands of transporters, middlemen and retailers to back it up and is consequently one of the biggest informal sectors in the province.

Prominently in the cross-border trade are the food items. Common goods that are regularly traded include flour, rice, wheat, and cooking oil, and these usually rely on the shortages and the seasonal changes across the border (Khan, 2019). Such food flows guarantee food security in marginalized districts but it also causes tensions because bulk smuggling may intensify shortages in formal markets.

The Baluchistan borders also trade consumer goods such as electronics, textile, and household appliances as well as cigarettes and cosmetics. Most of these goods are manufactured in Dubai and find their way into Pakistan through Iran without going through the official tax structures (Afridi & Abbas, 2021). These commodities combined form a complete economic system of traders and transporters, which emphasizes the fact that informal economies flourish due to the demand of cheap goods without the vigorous oversight of the regulatory bodies.

4.4. Practices of Border Management and Arrangements.

The institutional capacity and fragmented enforcement of border management is evident in Balochistan. Although the Iran and Afghanistan borders are equipped with customs check-posts and the Federal Board of Revenue (FBR), Frontier Corps (FC), and the local government, it is virtually impossible to monitor the entire length and porosity of the borders due to their length and porosity (Youseaf, 2020). This organizational weakness offers the perfect platform on which informal trade networks thrive.

In most instances, enforcement is non-enforceable and negotiated, where local authorities, security agencies and traders set up non-formal arrangements to ensure that trade flows do continue. These formations reveal the hybrid nature of borderlands where formal rules are in tandem with informal structures which are usually reinforced by corruption and patron-client systems (Goodhand, 2005). Lack of clear and congruent enforcement structures undermines the trust of the citizens in the state institutions because communities view enforcing them as arbitrary and exploitative.

The efforts to regulate informal flows and offer legal alternatives include recent policy efforts, e.g. the formalization of cross-border trade markets through the Pakistan-Iran agreement of 2021. It has been implemented slowly, however, and border communities are strongly skeptical of it since they fear losing means of livelihood unless local communities are involved with its formalization (Rais, 2021). These forces highlight the multifaceted nature of managing the borders in Balochistan where economic need, poor governance and geopolitics of the region meet.

5. Governance Gaps and Institutional Weaknesses

5.1. Poor Regulatory Systems and Dysfunctional Authority.

Lack of strong regulatory measures is one of the most endemic problems of governance in the Balochistan borderlands. The very fact that the borders between Pakistan and Iran and Pakistan and Afghanistan are very long results in the fact that state institutions would find it very difficult to monitor them regularly.

Several bodies, such as the customs, the Frontier Corps (FC), police, and district administrations, have overlapping jurisdictions and this has been the root cause of confusion and ineffective enforcement (Youseaf, 2020). Rather than co-ordinated rule, the border management may sometimes seem like a salad of contending authorities.

Half-baked authority enhances inefficiency in regulation. Local communities, traders and transporters use institutional overlaps to bargain exemption, or to evade restrictions, and security forces are more concerned with strategic issues than with economic regulation. This disintegrated space also allows the thriving of illicit networks because there is no one authority to control illegal flows (Afridi & Abbas, 2021). The outcome is that there will be a regulatory vacuum where informal trade will be normalized.

This is a weak point that makes the state incapable of collecting revenue or maintaining border control. It also limits access to the formal system of integrating the border economies, maintaining the informality as the mode that exists as the default in the region (Goodhand, 2005). The border trade in Balochistan is still in a grey zone between legal and illegal due to the absence of powerful, consistent regulatory mechanisms.

5.2. Bribery and Bribing at Crossing Points.

The operation of the Balochistan border economies is highly incriminated with corruption. Rent seeking behavior is the order of the day at the official checkpoints like Chaman, Taftan, and Gwadar between traders and authorities. Authorities usually accept bribes to pass goods through, which leads to a system in which they follow the law depending on the payment and not on the law (Khan, 2019). The practices enhance transaction costs as they solidify informality.

The rent-seeking culture has formalized the parallel taxation system of informal payments. Instead of the state getting the economic surplus in terms of custom duties, most of the economic surplus is privately owned by local officials and power brokers. This lowers the motivation of both traders and authorities to favor formalization of trade as informality is a gain to key stakeholders (Youseaf & Javaid, 2021). These dynamics make corruption the political economy of the border economy.

This corruption makes state institutions lack credibility among the local communities. To traders, bribery is a price they have to pay in order to survive as to communities, the state is part of the continuation of unfair practices. Border governance is rendered invalid, and it may not be interested in investing in legal trade mechanisms because corruption is not being curbed (Afridi & Abbas, 2021).

5.3. Inconsistent Trade Policies and ineffective Implementation.

The trade policies in Pakistan have the tendency not to support the special needs of the economies of the borderlands. The national trade policies are more focused on the macroeconomic issues of exports and revenue collection without paying attention to the livelihood aspect of informal trade in the peripheries like Balochistan (Rais, 2021). Consequently, Islamabad-based designed policies often do not have a significant impact on the truth of frontline communities.

Resource constraints and logistical challenges are also considered to be weak as a result of which enforcement capacity is weak. The security agencies consider the counterterrorism and counter-smuggling activities more important than regulatory enforcement compared with economic governance (Goodhand, 2005). Customs departments have very little manpower, technology and coordination, and it is almost impossible to enforce this comprehensively.

This lack of coherent, localized trade policy implies that formalization action, including border markets that were proposed under the Pakistan-Iran initiative, stands on the level of haphazard action and low implementation. These projects are usually opposed by the communities who fear being marginalized or losing their means of livelihoods (Rais, 2021). In this way, a lax approach and ill-fitting policies sustain the administration loopholes.

6. Informal Trade and Socio-Economic Realities

6.1. The contribution of Informal Trade to Sustenance of Livelihoods and Employment.

Informal cross-border trade is also a source of livelihood in Balochistan. When formal job opportunities are scarce particularly in the rural and peripheral areas, thousands of households rely on the cross-border movement of commodities like fuel, food and consumer goods (Ahmed, 2013). Informality offers a survival tool in areas where the development by the state is low.

Informal trade chains are of advantage to transporters, loaders, middlemen, and small retailers. In the case of several young men, the transportation of goods across the border is the only good option to make money, which minimized the dangers of their absolute poverty (Youseaf, 2020). Informal trade has since been used as a social safety net by maintaining employment at the community level.

This survival operation indicates the significance of informal economies as alternatives to the lack of formal institutions. Although informality deprives the state of income, it continues to support households in areas where the economic alternatives are still limited (Afridi and Abbas, 2021).

6.2. Correlations between Unemployment, Underdevelopment and Informality.

One of the key contributors of informal trade in Baluchistan is unemployment and underdevelopment. Poor literacy levels, high poverty, and low levels of industrial development are some of the poorest socio-economic indicators of the province in Pakistan (Youseaf and Javaid, 2021). Such circumstances provide a good breeding ground to informal economies.

The underdevelopment in terms of industrialization and low agricultural yields leaves border communities with little source of income. In this situation, the informal trade is not only a decision but an economic need (Rais, 2021). Lack of special development policies contributes more to dependence on informal activities.

The relationship between informality and underdevelopment is interlinked, and this process contributes to the cycle of marginalization. Informal trade maintains the survival, but fails to get integrated in the formal markets, which are capable of creating a sustainable development and state revenue. Accordingly, informal trade not only reduces, but also institutionalizes underdevelopment at the same time (Khan, 2019).

6.3. Local Perceptions: Informal Trade as Survival vs. Criminality.

To local people, informal trade is viewed more as existence and not crime. According to traders and households, in the absence of these flows on cross-border, poverty and deprivation will increase much more (Ahmed, 2013). This impression makes informality a regular aspect of life.

In comparison, state officials and policy-makers tend to define informal trade as an illicit form of trade that compromises national revenues and contributes to insecurity. This alienation leads to strains between communities and regulators (Afridi and Abbas, 2021). When the informal trade is criminalized and there are no alternative ways of earning a living, this is perceived by locals as unjust and exploitative.

This difference of perception is a manifestation of more baked governance problems. Although communities do not disapprove of informal trade, the state does, which leads to a lack of trust and makes regulatory restructuring challenging (Youseaf, 2020).

6.4. Cases in Local districts (e.g. Chaman, Taftan, Panjgur, Gwadar)

In Chaman, which is on the Afghanistan frontier, consumer goods and foodstuffs trade informally controls local markets. Tribal connections across the borders promote smuggling and the state can hardly manage the flows (Khan, 2019).

Smuggling of fuel is the main activity in Taftan, which is located on the Iran border. Entire communities rely on cheap Iranian petrol and diesel, and tanker caravans will serve Pakistan markets to the very end (Ahmed, 2013). Equally, Panjgur has evolved to become a centre of small scale transporters who have made informal fuel trade their primary source of income.

Although Gwadar is becoming more and more a part of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), it is also indicative of informality in border trades. Although promises of large-scale investments encourage many locals to get out of the formal opportunities, a significant number of people still have no formal offer to live and rely on smuggling networks (Rais, 2021). These instances underscore the established position of informal trade in various border districts.

7. Discussion

The study reveals that informal economy of the border in Balochistan has strong roots in structural underdevelopment, unemployment and marginalization of the border communities. Over the decades, cross-border trade, that is, the sale and purchase of fuel, food staples, and consumer goods have been the only source of the livelihood and survival in such regions as Chaman, Taftan, Panjgur, and Gwadar (Khan, 2021). Geographic isolation, as well as the lack of other methods of livelihood, has not only informedal trade as an economic choice, but also as a means of survival.

The penetration of the formal economy in the border regions is also a measure of failure because local reliance on informal trade takes place. In spite of the strategic location, there has been a low level of investment in industry, agriculture and service sectors in Balochistan. Consequently, local populations view smuggling and cross-border transactions to be a legal way of supporting households although they are not under the official regulatory system. This sense creates a grey area between informal and illegal as the communities consider border trade as a necessity and not a crime.

In addition, informal border economies promote intricate systems of employment and income-exchange. A livelihood is earned by truck drivers, loaders, shopkeepers, and even small-scale financiers off these flows. These networks remain resilient, indicating how deeply ingrained the nature of informality is in the socio-economic life of Balochistan and that, therefore, any sudden implementation strategy will only result in the marginalization of the susceptible groups without any redress of the structural factors of reliance.

The paper notes that the existence of informal trade in Balochistan has been determined by the existence of governance gaps. The regulatory agencies like customs, border police and the local governments tend to have overlapping mandate and lack coordination. The resulting fragmentation opens gaps that can be used by networks in smuggling as it undermines the state power at the borders (Younas & Khan, 2020).

Governance is also compromised by corruption and rent-seeking at border crossings. Regulatory frameworks are turned into sources of revenue to individuals, as officials usually accept informal payments to grant smuggling a green light instead of a state. These make border economies reliant not just on locally based demand, but also on the collusion of state actors.

It is also vital that there are no coherent trade policies that are specific to border areas. The planning of national economies does not always pay attention to the local reality of frontier provinces and concentrates on large-scale infrastructure projects like CPEC. The resulting lack of alignment of policy design with local realities leads to poor enforcement, poor compliance and increasing distrust in state institutions. To the people living on the borderlands, the state is seen as either non-existent (through lack of provision of services) or predatory (through rent-seeking), which weakens its credibility.

The consequences of informal border economies in Balochistan are not only about livelihoods but also about the most important areas of fiscal stability and national security. Smuggling and tax evasion cause great losses to the state in terms of the revenue. Smuggling of fuel in Iran, such as the example, not only robs Pakistan of the customs income but also degrades the domestic fuel markets and energy policy (Siddiqa, 2022). These fiscal loopholes undermine the ability of the state to invest in development in projects, which continue to result in underdevelopment of Balochistan.

The continuation of informal trade is a challenge to state legitimacy at the governance level. The informal economy seems to be cheaper and more effective to citizens than the formal institutions, and this undermines the credibility of the state. This estrangement further strengthens political dissatisfaction in Balochistan, where the desire to be granted more autonomy is already on an intense level, and distrust of federal authorities is increasingly high. Border lapses in governance, therefore, poses a threat to generation of greater dissatisfaction and undermining of the social contract between the state and citizens.

Informal trade also borders the issue of security in the region. Criminal syndicates and militant groups tend to take over the smuggling routes, providing connections between informality in the economy and insecurity. Cross-border networks are not only good but also facilitating illicit flows, there is no distinction between the economic activity and security threats. To Pakistan, this will weaken not only local stability but also the larger strategic endeavors like CPEC which must have safe and controlled trade routes. Therefore, the formulation of border economies into the formal system by regulation, incentives, and community development becomes one of the urgent policy requirements.

8. Implications for Economy and Security

8.1. Losses in the form of fiscal smuggling and tax evasion.

Among the short-term effects of informal trade in Balochistan is the fact that it has cost the national economy a lot of money through the loss of fiscally. Smuggling of fuel, food and consumer goods are therefore not subject to due tax and the state is therefore denied the much-needed revenue in form of custom and excise duties (Afridi and Abbas, 2021). Billions of rupees are estimated to be lost every year because of tax evasion by border economies which places fiscal burdens on a state that is already struggling with budget deficits.

Such a loss of revenue weakens the ability of the government to invest in developmental projects in these marginalized provinces such as Balochistan, which propagates the underdevelopment cycle that leads to the need to turn to informality in the first place (Youseaf, 2020). Since the fiscal gaps are increasing, the state becomes more reliant on the external borrowing and, thus, fiscal sovereignty becomes further restricted.

In addition, the political tension between the provincial and federal stakeholders is compounded by the fact that most informal networks are perceived to benefit and the state is losing revenue. The provincial players claim that communities are being pushed to informal survival measures by the federal negligence, and that federal institutions insist on increased compliance. The tug-of-war illustrates that fiscal losses related to smuggling intensify administrative disputes (Khan, 2019).

8.2. Liaison Between Informal Trade and Regional Insecurity

The Baluchistan informal trade is strongly intertwined with the insecurity situation in the region since, in most cases, the smuggling ways follow the routes where illegal arms, drugs and even militants are transported. Poor governance and porous borders present the criminal mafia and insurgents with an opportunity to use informal trade channels to make money (Goodhand, 2005). This brings the thin line between informality based on survival and the organized criminality.

The dependence of the local communities on informal trade makes counter-smuggling activities more complicated, because any crackdown by state authorities can bring about a risk of losing communities, who rely on such flows. The interplay results in the creation of a security dilemma: a stronger crackdown can reduce smuggling but also can create resentment and instability (Youseaf and Javaid, 2021). Informal economies, in such situations, have a stabilizing effect as well as destabilizing effect- they offer a means of livelihood and at the same time facilitate insecurity.

These risks are enhanced by the instability of the region especially in Afghanistan. The political instability across the border commonly turns into a higher flow of goods and people via Balochistan that strengthens the position of Balochistan as not only a financial powerhouse but also a security risk (Rais, 2021).

8.3. The Politics of Cross-Border Networks.

The continuity of informal trade in Balochistan is a measure of the deeply-rooted political economy of cross-border networks. The existence of tribal affiliations between Pakistan, Iran and Afghanistan form a kinship based networks of trust, which support illegal trade. Such networks are strong in that they are not controlled by the state, but rather are interlaced in local social and cultural systems (Ahmed, 2013).

These cross border economies are usually patronized by the local elites, politicians, and even parts of the security establishment. The rent-seeking practices make sure that the informal flows remain intact since various stakeholders stand to gain in terms of finances. This makes the process of reform difficult, as people in authority tend to be interested in the status quo (Afridi & Abbas, 2021).

Local governance is also defined by the political economy of informality. The communities that depend on them tend to perceive tribal and informal institutions as more legitimate than the state institutions. This also weakens control of the central state in peripheral areas where informality becomes not only a fact of the economy but also a system of governance (Khan, 2019).

8.4. Implications on the Broader Economic Planning and CPEC in Pakistan.

The overwhelming informal economies in Balochistan have severe consequences on short-to-long-term economic planning of Pakistan and especially the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). The CPEC projects that are aimed at promoting connectivity and formal trade have difficulties when the local economies are still reliant on informality. Unless these informal systems are integrated, the gains of CPEC will by-pass border communities (Yousaf, 2020).

Continued smuggling and lax border control is a danger to Pakistan not being seen as a reliable and stable partner by international allies such as China. Smuggling of fuel and goods leads to distortion of market forces and this lowers investment in formal industries. This brings conflicting translations between the hope of formal economic change that CPEC promises and the reality on the ground of informality (Rais, 2021).

Otherwise, informal economies can only enhance inequalities by establishing the dual economic systems, one connected to the global infrastructure programs, and the other based on the domestic smuggling. These imbalances may create dissatisfaction and opposition to CPEC and deter the overall development agenda of Pakistan (Afridi & Abbas, 2021).

7. Recommendations

- Enhance Institutional Capacity of Policing the Borders - Have a central body of border control that has modern technology and accountability systems to facilitate the control of the border and the elimination of corruption.
- Include Informal Trade via Regulation and Incentives - Introduce simplified customs regimes, small-trader permits and fiscal incentives to formalize gradually the cross-border trade.
- Encourage Community-based Development - Establish alternative means of livelihood by investing in agriculture, livestock, fisheries and small-scale industries and inclusion of communities in the governance of the border.
- Set up Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in Border Districts - Develop SEZs in Chaman, Turbat, Pajgure, Taftan and Gwadar to channel the informal trade into formal markets and create jobs locally.
- Increase Transparency and Anti-Corruption - Digitalize customs operations, put surveillance into place, and tighten the belt in order to reduce rent-seeking and leakage at the borders.
- Enhance Inter-Provincial Co-ordination of the Federal and Provincial Law Enforcement Agencies - Specify what Federal and provincial agencies do, lest they have duplicated accountability and lack effectiveness.
- Establish Cross-Border Cooperation Structures - Enhance dialogue with Iran and Afghanistan on mutual surveillance, intelligence exchange and facilitation of trade in a controlled manner.
- Invest in Human Capital in Border Areas - Vocational training, youth employment schemes and education programs should be increased to ensure that people are not forced to smuggle as a means of livelihood.
- Enhance Research and Data Collection - Develop special research departments to track informal trade flows, border economies and lack of governance to inform improved policymaking

9. Conclusion

The paper has discussed dynamics of informal border economies in Balochistan, their socio-economic motivators, gaps in governance and broad implications of the same to fiscal and security environment of Pakistan. Balochistan is a key crossroad in the border between Pakistan and Iran as well as the border between Pakistan and Afghanistan. However, rather than being used to the purpose of inclusive development and regionalization, the borderlands have continued to be marginalised and as such communities have had to depend on informal trade as one of the few ways to survive. In most households especially in such districts as Chaman, Taftan, Panjgur, and Gwadar fuel, foodstuffs, and consumer goods smuggling is not a crime but a means of survival since there is no formal job placement and economic prospects.

The fact that informal economies still exist, however, is indicative of more governance weaknesses. Poor regulatory structures, institutional division, border point corruption and lack of coherent and region-specific trade policies have posed a governance vacuum. In such a setting, the informal economies do not just thrive but also get institutionalized and the state actors are usually complicit and also engage in rent-seeking activities. This type of governance vacuum contributes to distrust of state institutions, which is compounded by historical complaints of abandonment and marginalization in Balochistan. To the local communities, the state seems to be non-existent in the delivery of services but present invasive in the provision of enforcement mechanisms which is a paradox that continues to alienate.

The impacts are far-reaching in relation to local livelihoods. Smuggling and tax evasion is a major loss to Pakistan in terms of fiscal loss especially in the energy sector. These leakages minimise the ability of the state to invest in development further aggravating underdevelopments in the very areas in which informality prospers. More importantly, the overlap between informal trade and cross-border criminal organizations and militant groups poses security flaws. Smuggling networks also admit illicit flows to subvert stability and complicate counter-insurgency and counter-smuggling operations of the routes. This economic informality and insecurity convergence is threatening to Pakistan in its overall strategic ambitions, including the success of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC).

Meanwhile, the paper shows that informal trade cannot be eradicated by enforcement. Any sudden crackdown would drive away the vulnerable communities, make poverty even worse and create more discontent. It is necessary to have a more balanced approach that identifies the social-economic realities of borderland communities with the aim of resolving governance gaps and losses to state revenues. Key measures include strengthening institutional capacity to deal with border management, integrating informal trade by regulation and incentives and investing in alternative livelihoods. Pakistan can learn through the flexible lessons of successful international experiences like the bazaars on the border that have been implemented in Turkey and simplified trade regimes in Central Asia.

Finally, informal economies in Balochistan need to be tackled by resisting the use of a limited security prism. Borders are not only the places of smuggling but also the places of survival, endurance, and the struggle over the government. Such an inclusion, community-based, and development-focused integration of these economies into the official structures of Pakistan will not only strengthen the fiscal performance, but also help in strengthening the state legitimacy within a historically marginalized province. It necessitates the reconsideration of policy priorities, such as between punitive enforcement and positive engagement, centralized control and local participation, short-term solutions versus long-term structural reform. It is only with such a comprehensive strategy that Pakistan can turn its border economies into the places of the weakness to the engines of stability and development.

10. REFERENCES

Ahmed, Z. S. (2018). Challenges of socio-economic development in Balochistan: An overview. Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, 38(2), 465–480.

Demir, O. (2019). Border bazaars and informal trade: Lessons from the Iran-Turkey experience. Journal of Borderlands Studies, 34(1), 23–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/08865655.2017.1367712

Ghaus-Pasha, A. (2017). Governance and public policy in Pakistan: A review. Pakistan Development Review, 56(4), 349–370.

International Crisis Group. (2018). Pakistan: Shaping a new Balochistan strategy (Asia Report No. 300). https://www.crisisgroup.org

Jenkins, R. (2020). Informal economies in fragile states: Understanding governance and legitimacy. Third World Quarterly, 41(5), 789–805. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2020.1718614

Khan, A. (2021). Informal trade and livelihoods in Pakistan's borderlands. Contemporary South Asia, 29(4), 523–539. https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2021.1895684

Khan, N., & Younas, M. (2020). Border governance and informal economies: The case of Balochistan. Asian Journal of Political Science, 28(3), 299–317. https://doi.org/10.1080/02185377.2020.1777741

Lesser, C., & Moisé-Leeman, E. (2009). Informal cross-border trade and trade facilitation reform in Sub-Saharan Africa. OECD Trade Policy Working Paper No. 86. https://doi.org/10.1787/225770164564

Malik, A. H. (2019). The political economy of smuggling in Pakistan: State, society, and informal networks. South Asian Studies, 34(2), 341–360.

Meagher, K. (2017). Informality and development: Re-thinking the relationship. Oxford Development Studies, 45(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600818.2016.1262820

Mezzadri, A. (2021). The informal economy as a site of resistance and survival. Global Labour Journal, 12(3), 228–247.

Myrdal, G. (2017). Informal economies and state incapacity in South Asia. Journal of Development Studies, 53(6), 901–919.

Rais, R. B. (2020). Governance challenges and development deficits in Balochistan. Pakistan Horizon, 73(4), 43–62.

Siddiqa, A. (2022). The political economy of smuggling in Pakistan: A focus on fuel trade across the Iran-Balochistan border. Contemporary Review of the Middle East, 9(2), 233–251. https://doi.org/10.1177/23477989221087495

Smith, A., & Bayley, J. (2019). Informal trade, insecurity, and fragile borders. Journal of Peacebuilding & Development, 14(3), 256–272. https://doi.org/10.1177/1542316619864923

Taj, M., & Ahmed, S. (2020). Informal economies and the governance dilemma in Balochistan. Pakistan Journal of International Affairs, 3(1), 67–84.

United Nations Development Programme. (2020). Human development report: Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond today. UNDP. http://hdr.undp.org

World Bank. (2014). Reducing barriers to regional trade and cooperation in Central Asia. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2019). Pakistan@100: Shaping the future. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Yamin, T. (2021). Border management and security in Pakistan: Challenges and responses. Strategic Studies, 41(2), 93–115.

Zaidi, S. A. (2019). Issues in Pakistan's economy: A political economy perspective (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Zahid, F. (2018). Cross-border trade, militancy, and governance challenges in Pakistan's borderlands. Terrorism Monitor, 16(14), 10–14..