Center for Management Science Research
ISSN: 3006-5291 3006-5283 Volume 4, Issue 6, 2026

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE–ENABLED HR ANALYTICS AND ORGANIZATIONAL AGILITY: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF WORKFORCE RESILIENCE IN PAKISTAN

Dr. Mohammad Tahir[*1], Razia Mubeen[2], Malik Noumaan Shahzad[3], Shahid Naved[4]

[*1]*Lawyer High Court Visiting Professor at III Department of Management and IT*
[2]*Phd Student University Tun Abdurrazak Malaysia, Management Science*
[3]*Student, QASMS (Quaid-E-Azam School of Management Sciences), Quaid E Azam University*
[4]*PhD Scholar, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS)*

[*1]drmtahirmalik2023@gmail.com, [2]razia.mubeen.ur@unirazak.edu.my, [3]maliknoumaan50@gmail.com, [4]23010222@siswa.unimas.my

DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20593634

Keywords
Artificial Intelligence; HR Analytics; Organizational Agility; Workforce Resilience; Digital Transformation; Pakistan

Article History
Received: 11 April 2026
Accepted: 23 May 2026
Published: 08 June 2026

Corresponding Author: *
Dr. Mohammad Tahir

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI)–enabled HR analytics has emerged as a critical enabler of digital transformation, reshaping how organizations manage human capital through predictive, data-driven decision-making. In parallel, organizational agility has become essential for sustaining competitiveness in volatile and uncertain environments, particularly in developing economies such as Pakistan. This study examines the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility, with workforce resilience as a mediating variable. A quantitative, cross-sectional research design was employed, and data were collected from employees and HR professionals in selected organizations in Pakistan. Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) was used to test the hypothesized relationships and mediation effects. The findings indicate that AI-enabled HR analytics has a significant positive effect on organizational agility. Moreover, workforce resilience significantly mediates the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility, suggesting that the effectiveness of AI-driven HR systems is enhanced when employees demonstrate high adaptability, psychological strength, and capacity to manage change. The results further confirm that organizations leveraging AI technologies in HR processes are more likely to develop resilient workforces, which in turn enhance their ability to respond quickly and effectively to environmental changes. The study contributes to Dynamic Capabilities Theory by integrating technological (AI-enabled HR analytics) and human (workforce resilience) dimensions in explaining organizational agility. Practically, it highlights the importance of combining AI adoption with employee resilience-building strategies to maximize organizational performance in digitally transforming environments.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI)–enabled human resource (HR) analytics has emerged as a transformative force in contemporary organizational management, reshaping how firms acquire, develop, and retain human capital. By integrating machine learning algorithms, predictive modeling, and big data analytics, AI-

enabled HR systems allow organizations to move beyond traditional descriptive HR reporting toward advanced predictive and prescriptive decision-making capabilities (Marler & Boudreau, 2017; Minbaeva, 2021). These systems are increasingly used to optimize recruitment processes, forecast workforce needs, enhance performance management, and support strategic talent decisions in real time.

In parallel, organizational agility has become a critical determinant of organizational survival in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments. Organizational agility refers to the dynamic capability of firms to rapidly sense environmental changes and respond effectively through flexible structures, adaptive processes, and empowered human capital (Tallon et al., 2019). In the era of digital transformation, agility is no longer solely dependent on structural flexibility but is increasingly shaped by data-driven decision systems and human adaptability to technological change.

In developing economies such as Pakistan, organizations are gradually adopting AI-based HR systems; however, the translation of these technologies into improved organizational agility remains limited. Many firms invest in digital HR infrastructure but fail to achieve expected strategic outcomes due to organizational rigidity, limited digital literacy, and inadequate employee adaptability. This suggests that technological adoption alone is insufficient unless supported by human psychological and behavioral readiness.

Workforce resilience, defined as the ability of employees to adapt, recover, and maintain performance under uncertainty and change, has therefore emerged as a critical enabling factor in digital transformation contexts (King et al., 2016). In AI-driven workplaces, workforce resilience plays a vital role in ensuring that employees can effectively interpret AI-generated insights, manage technological disruptions, and maintain productivity under evolving work conditions. Despite its importance, limited research has examined workforce resilience as a mediating mechanism between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility, particularly in emerging economies such as Pakistan.

Problem Statement

Despite increasing global adoption of artificial intelligence–enabled HR analytics, many organizations in Pakistan continue to experience limited improvements in organizational agility. This indicates a significant gap between technological investment and organizational outcomes. While AI-based HR systems are expected to enhance decision-making accuracy, workforce efficiency, and strategic responsiveness, their actual contribution to organizational agility remains inconsistent and underdeveloped in empirical research.

A critical issue identified in the literature is the overemphasis on technological capabilities while neglecting the human and behavioral dimensions that determine successful AI integration. Most existing studies focus on the direct effects of AI-enabled HR analytics on performance outcomes, ignoring the psychological readiness of employees to adapt to AI-driven environments. In the Pakistani organizational context, where workforce diversity, varying skill levels, and structural rigidity are common, this gap becomes even more pronounced.

Furthermore, there is a lack of integrated empirical models that explain how AI-enabled HR analytics translates into organizational agility through intermediate mechanisms. In particular, the mediating role of workforce resilience remains underexplored. Without understanding this mechanism, organizations risk overestimating the impact of AI systems and underestimating the importance of human adaptability. Therefore, there is a pressing need to examine how workforce resilience influences the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility in Pakistan.

Research Questions

1. How does AI-enabled HR analytics influence organizational agility in organizations in Pakistan?
2. What is the impact of AI-enabled HR analytics on workforce resilience?
3. How does workforce resilience affect organizational agility?

4. Does workforce resilience mediate the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility?
5. What is the level of AI-enabled HR analytics adoption in Pakistani organizations?

Research Objectives

General Objective

To examine the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility with the mediating role of workforce resilience in organizations in Pakistan.

Specific Objectives

1. To investigate the impact of AI-enabled HR analytics on organizational agility.
2. To assess the effect of AI-enabled HR analytics on workforce resilience.
3. To determine the influence of workforce resilience on organizational agility.
4. To analyze the mediating role of workforce resilience between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility.
5. To evaluate the extent of AI integration in HR analytics practices within Pakistani organizations.

Significance of the Study

Theoretical Significance

This study contributes to the advancement of organizational behavior and human resource management literature by integrating AI-enabled HR analytics with workforce resilience and organizational agility within a unified conceptual framework. It extends Dynamic Capabilities Theory by explaining how technological capabilities interact with human psychological resources to produce adaptive organizational outcomes. The study also enhances socio-technical systems theory by highlighting the interdependence between AI-driven systems and human adaptability in shaping organizational performance.

Practical Significance

The study provides actionable insights for HR practitioners and organizational leaders in Pakistan on how to effectively implement AI-enabled HR analytics systems. It emphasizes the importance of developing workforce resilience to maximize the benefits of AI adoption. Organizations can use these findings to design targeted training programs, change management strategies, and digital transformation initiatives that enhance employee adaptability and organizational responsiveness.

Policy Significance

From a policy perspective, the study offers evidence-based recommendations for government and regulatory bodies in Pakistan to support digital transformation in human resource management. It highlights the need for national policies that promote AI literacy, workforce upskilling, and digital readiness in organizations. The findings can guide policymakers in designing frameworks that strengthen labor market adaptability and enhance national competitiveness in the era of artificial intelligence.

Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence–Enabled HR Analytics and Digital HR Transformation

Artificial intelligence–enabled HR analytics has emerged as a strategic enabler of digital transformation in human resource management by shifting HR decision-making from intuition-based practices to evidence-driven, predictive systems. Contemporary HR analytics integrates machine learning, natural language processing, and big data technologies to support recruitment, performance management, workforce planning, and employee retention decisions (Marler & Boudreau, 2017; Minbaeva, 2021). Recent literature emphasizes that AI-based HR systems enhance the speed, accuracy, and scalability of HR decisions, enabling organizations to respond more effectively to dynamic labor market conditions.

However, critical studies argue that AI adoption alone does not guarantee improved organizational outcomes. Ransbotham et al. (2023) highlight an "AI capability paradox," where organizations invest heavily in AI technologies but fail to realize proportional performance improvements due to insufficient organizational readiness, cultural resistance, and weak integration with human decision-making processes. This suggests that AI-

enabled HR analytics should be understood as a socio-technical system rather than a purely technological intervention.

Organizational Agility in the Era of Digital Transformation

Organizational agility refers to the capability of organizations to rapidly sense environmental changes and respond effectively through flexible structures, adaptive processes, and empowered human capital. It is widely recognized as a core dynamic capability essential for survival in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments (Tallon et al., 2019).

Recent empirical research indicates that digital technologies significantly enhance organizational agility by improving real-time decision-making, information processing, and operational responsiveness (Bohorquez & Esteves, 2022). Nevertheless, agility is not solely determined by technological infrastructure. Organizational culture, leadership style, and employee adaptability remain critical determinants of whether digital investments translate into meaningful agility outcomes. In developing economies such as Pakistan, hierarchical organizational structures, limited digital literacy, and resource constraints further hinder the realization of agility benefits.

AI-Enabled HR Analytics and Organizational Performance

A growing body of literature demonstrates that AI-enabled HR analytics contributes positively to organizational performance by improving talent acquisition, workforce optimization, and employee engagement (Davenport et al., 2020). Predictive HR analytics enables organizations to forecast employee turnover, identify high-performing talent, and optimize workforce allocation strategies.

However, recent studies emphasize that the relationship between AI and performance is largely indirect and mediated by human factors. Jarrahi et al. (2021) argue that AI systems function as decision-support tools, while humans remain central to contextual interpretation, ethical judgment, and final decision-making. Therefore, the effectiveness of AI-enabled HR analytics depends on employee trust, acceptance, and adaptability to AI-generated insights.

Workforce Resilience in Digital Work Environments

Workforce resilience refers to employees' capacity to adapt, recover, and maintain high performance in the face of uncertainty, stress, and organizational change. It is increasingly recognized as a critical psychological resource in organizations undergoing digital transformation (King et al., 2016).

Recent studies suggest that workforce resilience plays a vital role in determining the success of technological change initiatives. Resilient employees are more likely to accept AI-based systems, engage in continuous learning, and sustain productivity during periods of technological disruption (Hartmann & Henkel, 2020). Conversely, low resilience is associated with resistance to change, anxiety toward automation, and reduced performance.

Despite its importance, workforce resilience remains underexplored in AI-HR analytics research, particularly in developing countries where organizational uncertainty and workforce skill gaps are more pronounced. This gap highlights the need to examine resilience as a mediating mechanism in the AI–organizational outcome relationship.

Integration of AI, Workforce Resilience, and Organizational Agility

Emerging literature suggests that the relationship between digital technologies and organizational agility is not direct but operates through human adaptive mechanisms. Rialti et al. (2020) propose that digital capabilities enhance organizational responsiveness only when combined with strong dynamic human capabilities.

In this context, workforce resilience serves as a critical mediating mechanism that enables organizations to translate AI-generated insights into agile actions. While AI systems improve data availability and predictive accuracy, organizational agility is achieved only when employees possess the psychological resilience to interpret and act upon

these insights effectively. This is particularly relevant in developing countries such as Pakistan, where digital maturity levels and workforce adaptability vary significantly across organizations. Despite growing interest in AI-enabled HR systems, there is limited empirical research integrating AI capability, workforce resilience, and organizational agility within a single analytical model. Most studies examine dyadic relationships, leaving a significant gap in understanding the full mediation mechanism.

The literature reveals three major gaps:

1. Existing studies predominantly focus on technological aspects of AI-enabled HR analytics, with limited attention to human behavioral and psychological mediators.
2. Empirical evidence linking AI-enabled HR analytics to organizational agility remains fragmented and largely concentrated in developed economies.
3. The mediating role of workforce resilience in the AI–agility relationship has not been sufficiently tested in developing country contexts, particularly Pakistan.

This highlights the need for an integrated model that simultaneously examines AI-enabled HR analytics, workforce resilience, and organizational agility.

Underpinning Theory

Dynamic Capabilities Theory (DCT)

The **Dynamic Capabilities Theory**, introduced by Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997), provides the most suitable theoretical foundation for this study. It explains how organizations achieve sustainable competitive advantage by integrating, building, and reconfiguring internal and external competencies in rapidly changing environments.

Justification of Applicability

Dynamic Capabilities Theory is highly applicable to this study because it provides a structured explanation of how AI-enabled HR analytics and workforce resilience jointly contribute to organizational agility.

1. **Sensing capability:** AI-enabled HR analytics enhances an organization's ability to detect workforce trends, predict employee behavior, and identify skill gaps using real-time data analytics.
2. **Seizing capability:** Workforce resilience enables employees and managers to interpret AI-generated insights and respond effectively to organizational challenges.
3. **Reconfiguring capability:** Organizational agility reflects the organization's ability to restructure HR processes, workflows, and strategies based on AI-driven insights and resilient workforce behavior.

Thus, AI-enabled HR analytics represents a technological dynamic capability, while workforce resilience represents a human adaptive capability. Organizational agility emerges as the outcome of their interaction, making Dynamic Capabilities Theory highly appropriate for explaining digital transformation in HR within Pakistani organizations.

The reviewed literature demonstrates that while AI-enabled HR analytics significantly enhances organizational decision-making, its impact on organizational agility is not direct. Workforce resilience plays a critical mediating role in translating technological capabilities into adaptive organizational outcomes. However, empirical research integrating these constructs remains limited, particularly in developing economies such as Pakistan, indicating a strong need for further investigation.

Conceptual Framework

Hypotheses

H1: AI-enabled HR analytics has a significant positive effect on organizational agility in organizations in Pakistan.

H2: AI-enabled HR analytics has a significant positive effect on workforce resilience.

H3: Workforce resilience has a significant positive effect on organizational agility.

H4: Workforce resilience significantly mediates the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility.

H5: Organizations with higher levels of AI-enabled HR analytics adoption demonstrate higher workforce resilience compared to low-adoption organizations.

H6: Workforce resilience strengthens the effect of AI-enabled HR analytics on organizational agility.

Methodology

Research Design

The study adopted a quantitative, cross-sectional, and explanatory research design to examine the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics, workforce resilience, and organizational agility in organizations in Pakistan. This design was appropriate because it enabled the collection of numerical data at a single point in time and allowed for hypothesis testing regarding direct and mediating relationships among variables. The study followed a deductive approach grounded in **Dynamic Capabilities Theory**, aiming to empirically validate the proposed conceptual model.

Population

The target population of the study consisted of employees and HR professionals working in medium and large-scale organizations in Pakistan**,** particularly those utilizing digital HR systems or undergoing digital transformation initiatives. These organizations included sectors such as banking, telecommunications, information technology, manufacturing, and services. The accessible population comprised managerial and non-managerial employees directly or indirectly involved in HR processes and decision-making.

Sampling Technique

A multi-stage stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure representation across industries and organizational levels.

1. **Stage 1 (Sector selection):** Organizations were selected from key sectors (banking, telecom, IT, manufacturing, and services) using purposive selection based on digital HR adoption.
2. **Stage 2 (Stratification):** Within each organization, employees were stratified into managerial and non-managerial categories.
3. **Stage 3 (Random selection):** Respondents were selected using simple random sampling within each stratum to reduce selection bias and ensure equal representation.

Sample Size

The sample size was determined using **Cochran's formula** for large populations at a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. Based on statistical estimation and adjustment for non-response, the final sample size was:

n = 420 respondents

This sample size was considered adequate for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis, ensuring sufficient statistical power for mediation testing.

Data Collection Procedures

Data were collected through a structured process:

1. Formal permission was obtained from selected organizations.
2. Ethical approval was secured prior to data collection.
3. A structured questionnaire was distributed both electronically (Google Forms) and physically to respondents.
4. Participants were briefed about the purpose of the study, confidentiality, and voluntary participation.
5. Completed questionnaires were screened for completeness and consistency before analysis.
6. Data were coded and entered into SPSS and SmartPLS **software** for further analysis.

Instruments / Measures

Data were collected using a standardized structured questionnaire divided into four sections:

1. **Demographic Information:** Age, gender, education, job position, industry type, and experience.
2. **AI-Enabled HR Analytics (Independent Variable):**

Measured using a Likert scale adapted from validated HR analytics and digital transformation studies. Items assessed:

- Use of predictive HR systems
- AI-based recruitment tools
- Data-driven performance evaluation
- HR decision automation

3. **Workforce Resilience (Mediating Variable):**
Measured using adapted items from established resilience scales. Items included:
- Adaptability to change
- Stress recovery capability
- Emotional and psychological strength
- Continuous learning behavior

4. **Organizational Agility (Dependent Variable):**
Measured using validated agility scales focusing on:
- Speed of decision-making
- Flexibility in operations
- Responsiveness to environmental change
- Innovation capability

All constructs were measured using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Reliability and Validity

Reliability

- Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's Alpha, where a threshold of ≥0.70 was considered acceptable.
- was also used in SmartPLS to confirm internal consistency, with values above 0.70 indicating reliability Composite Reliability (CR).
- Pilot testing was conducted on 30 respondents to refine the questionnaire and ensure clarity of items.

Validity

1. **Content Validity:**
Ensured through expert review by academicians and HR practitioners to confirm relevance and coverage of constructs.

2. **Construct Validity:**
Established through Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) using SmartPLS, ensuring that all indicators significantly loaded on their respective constructs.

3. **Convergent Validity:**
Assessed using Average Variance Extracted (AVE), with values ≥0.50 considered acceptable.

4. **Discriminant Validity:**
Evaluated using Fornell-Larcker Criterion and HTMT ratios, ensuring that constructs were distinct and non-overlapping.

5. **Pilot Study Validation:**
A pilot test ensured clarity, readability, and appropriateness of the questionnaire before full-scale data collection.

Data Analysis

Data Analysis Technique

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 26 and SmartPLS 4 for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). The analysis was conducted in multiple stages, including data screening, descriptive statistics, reliability and validity assessment, and hypothesis testing. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was used for inferential statistics.

The statistical techniques applied included:

- Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency, percentage)
- Reliability analysis (Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability)
- Validity testing (AVE, HTMT ratio)
- Correlation analysis (Pearson correlation)
- Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)
- Mediation analysis using bootstrapping (5000 resamples)

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics (n = 420)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	246	58.6%
	Female	174	41.4%
Age	21–30 years	168	40.0%
	31–40 years	152	36.2%
	41–50 years	72	17.1%
	51+ years	28	6.7%
Education	Bachelor	132	31.4%
	Master	214	51.0%
	MPhil/PhD	74	17.6%
Experience	1–5 years	146	34.8%
	6–10 years	172	41.0%
	10+ years	102	24.2%

The demographic profile indicates that the majority of respondents were young to mid-career professionals, with most holding a master's degree. This suggests that participants had sufficient educational and professional exposure to understand AI-enabled HR systems and organizational processes. The relatively balanced gender distribution enhances the representativeness of the sample.

Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	Std. Deviation
AI-Enabled HR Analytics	3.92	0.71
Workforce Resilience	3.85	0.68
Organizational Agility	3.88	0.73

The results indicate moderately high levels of AI-enabled HR analytics adoption, workforce resilience, and organizational agility. The relatively low standard deviations suggest consistency in responses across participants, indicating homogeneity in perceptions of digital HR transformation and organizational adaptability.

Reliability and Validity Results

Table 3: Reliability and Validity

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
AI-Enabled HR Analytics	0.89	0.92	0.64
Workforce Resilience	0.87	0.90	0.61
Organizational Agility	0.90	0.93	0.66

All constructs demonstrated strong internal consistency, with Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability values exceeding the recommended threshold of 0.70. AVE values were above 0.50, confirming adequate convergent validity. This indicates that the measurement model was reliable and suitable for further structural analysis.

Correlation Analysis

Table 4: Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variables	AI-HR Analytics	Workforce Resilience	Organizational Agility
AI-HR Analytics	1.00	0.62**	0.68**
Workforce Resilience	0.62**	1.00	0.71**
Organizational Agility	0.68**	0.71**	1.00

Note: $p < 0.01$

The results show significant positive relationships among all variables. AI-enabled HR analytics is strongly correlated with workforce resilience and organizational agility. The strongest correlation exists between workforce resilience and organizational agility, suggesting that psychological adaptability plays a crucial role in enhancing organizational responsiveness.

Structural Model (PLS-SEM) Results

Table 5: Direct Effects

Path Relationship	Beta (β)	t-value	p-value	Result
AI-HR → Workforce Resilience	0.64	12.45	0.000	Supported
Workforce Resilience → Agility	0.58	10.92	0.000	Supported
AI-HR → Organizational Agility	0.31	4.87	0.000	Supported

The results confirm that AI-enabled HR analytics has a significant positive effect on both workforce resilience and organizational agility. Workforce resilience also significantly influences organizational agility. However, the direct effect of AI on agility is weaker compared to its indirect pathway through workforce resilience, indicating partial mediation.

Mediation Analysis

Table 6: Mediation Effect (Bootstrapping Results)

Indirect Path	Beta	t-value	p-value	Mediation Type
AI-HR → WR → OA	0.37	9.21	0.000	Partial Mediation

The mediation analysis confirms that workforce resilience significantly mediates the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility. This indicates that AI systems improve organizational agility primarily by strengthening employees' psychological adaptability and resilience.

The findings reveal that AI-enabled HR analytics plays a significant role in enhancing organizational agility in Pakistani organizations; however, this relationship is largely indirect and operates through workforce resilience. Organizations that effectively implement AI-driven HR systems tend to develop more resilient employees who are better equipped to adapt to change, manage uncertainty, and respond to dynamic organizational demands.

The results strongly support the Dynamic Capabilities Theory, which suggests that organizational agility emerges from the interaction between technological capabilities (AI systems)

and human adaptive capabilities (workforce resilience). The study confirms that AI alone is insufficient unless supported by a resilient workforce capable of translating data-driven insights into agile organizational actions.

Overall, the findings highlight the importance of integrating human psychological factors into digital transformation strategies to fully realize the benefits of AI-enabled HR analytics.

Discussion

The present study examined the relationship between AI-enabled HR analytics and organizational agility, with workforce resilience as a mediating variable in organizations in Pakistan. The findings revealed that AI-enabled HR analytics significantly and positively influences organizational agility both directly and indirectly through workforce resilience. These results align with prior studies suggesting that digital HR technologies enhance organizational performance by improving decision-making speed, accuracy, and workforce optimization (Marler & Boudreau, 2017; Minbaeva, 2021).

However, the present study extends existing literature by empirically demonstrating that the relationship is not purely technological but is substantially mediated by human psychological capability. This finding supports the argument of Jarrahi et al. (2021), who emphasized that AI systems function as decision-support tools and require human interpretation to generate organizational value. Similarly, Ransbotham et al. (2023) highlighted the "AI capability paradox," where technological investment alone does not guarantee performance improvement, a phenomenon clearly observed in the current results where direct effects were weaker than mediated effects.

The strong positive effect of workforce resilience on organizational agility is consistent with King et al. (2016), who identified resilience as a critical psychological resource enabling employees to adapt to change and sustain performance under uncertainty. In the context of Pakistan, where organizational structures are often hierarchical and change-resistant, workforce resilience plays an even more critical role in enabling agility.

Furthermore, the mediation results support Rialti et al. (2020), who argued that digital transformation outcomes are achieved only when technological capabilities are combined with strong dynamic human capabilities. The findings empirically validate Dynamic Capabilities Theory by demonstrating that AI-enabled HR analytics represents a sensing capability, workforce resilience reflects seizing capability, and organizational agility represents reconfiguring capability.

Overall, the study confirms that organizational agility is not solely a technological outcome but a socio-technical phenomenon shaped by the interaction between AI systems and human adaptability.

Conclusion

The study concluded that AI-enabled HR analytics significantly enhances organizational agility in Pakistani organizations; however, this relationship is primarily indirect and is strongly mediated by workforce resilience. Organizations that effectively integrate AI into HR functions develop more resilient employees, who in turn enable faster adaptation, improved responsiveness, and greater organizational flexibility. The findings emphasize that technological advancement alone is insufficient unless supported by a psychologically resilient workforce capable of adapting to AI-driven work environments.

Implications

Theoretical Implications

This study extends Dynamic Capabilities Theory by integrating AI-enabled HR analytics as a technological capability and workforce resilience as a human adaptive capability. It demonstrates that organizational agility emerges from the interaction of these two dimensions. The study also contributes to socio-technical systems theory by empirically validating the interdependence between technological systems and human psychological factors in achieving organizational outcomes.

Managerial Implications

For managers and HR leaders, the findings highlight the importance of not only investing in AI-enabled HR analytics but also developing workforce resilience. Organizations should recognize that AI systems alone cannot drive agility unless employees are capable of interpreting and acting on AI-generated insights effectively. Managers should focus on creating supportive work environments that encourage adaptability, learning, and psychological strength.

Practical Implications

Practically, organizations in Pakistan should:

- Integrate AI-based HR systems with employee development programs
- Promote continuous learning and upskilling initiatives
- Encourage adaptability through change management practices
- Reduce resistance to digital transformation by involving employees in AI adoption processes

These steps will ensure that AI investments translate into improved organizational agility.

Policy Implications

At the policy level, government and regulatory bodies should:

- Promote national digital HR transformation strategies
- Encourage AI literacy and workforce digital skills development
- Support organizational training programs focused on resilience building
- Develop frameworks for ethical and effective AI adoption in workplaces

Such policies will strengthen national competitiveness in the era of digital transformation.

Recommendations

1. Organizations should implement structured AI-enabled HR analytics systems integrated with workforce development strategies.
2. HR departments should introduce resilience-building training programs focusing on adaptability and stress management.
3. Leadership should foster a culture of innovation and openness toward AI-driven decision-making.
4. Continuous monitoring systems should be established to assess employee adaptation to AI technologies.
5. Policymakers should incentivize organizations that invest in both AI technologies and employee capability development.
6. Future AI adoption strategies should balance technological advancement with human resource development.

Limitations and Future Directions

Limitations

- The cross-sectional design limited the ability to establish causal relationships between variables.
- Data were collected from selected sectors in Pakistan, which may limit generalizability to other industries or countries.
- The study relied on self-reported data, which may introduce response bias.
- AI-enabled HR analytics was measured at a perceptual level rather than through objective system usage metrics.
- Rapid technological changes may affect the long-term relevance of findings.

Future Directions

Future research should:

- Employ longitudinal designs to examine causal relationships over time
- Expand the study to cross-country comparisons to improve generalizability
- Integrate objective AI usage metrics for more accurate measurement
- Explore additional mediators such as digital literacy, organizational culture, and leadership style
- Investigate sector-specific differences in AI adoption and workforce resilience
- Apply mixed-method approaches to capture deeper qualitative insights into employee experiences with AI systems

REFERENCES

Bohorquez, V., & Esteves, J. (2022). Organizational agility and digital transformation: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Research, 139*, 100–112.

Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48*(1), 24–42.

Hartmann, P. M., & Henkel, J. (2020). Organizational resilience in digital transformation contexts. *MIS Quarterly Executive, 19*(3), 187–203.

Jarrahi, M. H., Memarzadeh, N., Guha, S., James, M., & Leman, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and human–AI collaboration in organizational decision-making. *Business Horizons, 64*(4), 481–489.

King, D. D., Newman, A., & Luthans, F. (2016). Not if, but when we need resilience in the workplace. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 37*(5), 782–786.

Levenson, A. (2018). Using workforce analytics to improve strategy execution. *Human Resource Management, 57*(3), 705–711.

Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 28*(1), 3–26.

Minbaeva, D. (2021). Human resource analytics: Why are we not there yet? *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(2), 100–112.

Moh'd, S., Gregory, P., Barroca, L., & Sharp, H. (2024). Agile human resource management: A systematic mapping study. *Information and Software Technology, 170*, 107457.

Naik, R. V., Kesari, B., & Chiranjeevi, V. (2025). Impact of human resource analytics adoption on organizational agility and operational performance. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 32*(5), 1–20.

Ransbotham, S., Kiron, D., Gerbert, P., & Reeves, M. (2023). The AI paradox in organizations. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 64*(2), 1–10.

Rialti, R., Zollo, L., Ferraris, A., & Alon, I. (2020). Big data analytics and dynamic capabilities: A framework for digital transformation. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 149*, 119–135.

Shafiabady, N., Hadjinicolaou, N., Ud Din, F., Bhandari, B., & Vakilian, J. (2023). Using artificial intelligence to predict organizational agility. *PLOS ONE, 18*(5), e0283066.

Tallon, P. P., Queiroz, M., Coltman, T., & Sharma, R. (2019). Information technology and organizational agility: A systematic review. *MIS Quarterly, 43*(4), 1351–1375.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal, 18*(7), 509–533.

Xiao, Q., Yan, J., & Bamber, G. J. (2025). How does AI-enabled HR analytics influence employee resilience: Job crafting as a mediator and HRM system strength as a moderator. *Personnel Review, 54*(3), 824–843.